arXiv:math/0509661v5 [math.RA] 7 Feb 2006

# GROUPS AND LIE ALGEBRAS CORRESPONDING TO THE YANG-BAXTER EQUATIONS

LAURENT BARTHOLDI, BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ, PAVEL ETINGOF, AND ERIC RAINS

Abstract. For a positive integer $n$ we introduce quadratic Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$ $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ and finitely generated groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ naturally associated with the classical and quantum Yang-Baxter equation, respectively.

We prove that the universal enveloping algebras of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$, $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ are Koszul, and compute their Hilbert series. We also compute the cohomology rings of these Lie algebras (which by Koszulity are the quadratic duals of the enveloping algebras). Finally, we construct a basis of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$.

We construct cell complexes which are classifying spaces for the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ and $\mathbf{QTr}_n$, and show that the boundary maps in them are zero, which allows us to compute the integral cohomology of these groups.

We show that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$, $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ map onto the associated graded algebras of the Malcev Lie algebras of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$, respectively. In the case of $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, we use quantization theory of Lie bialgebras to show that this map is actually an isomorphism. At the same time, we show that the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ and $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ are not formal for $n \geq 4$.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider certain discrete groups and Lie algebras associated to the Yang-Baxter equations.

Namely, we define the $n$-th quasitriangular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ to be generated by $r_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, with defining relations given by the classical Yang-Baxter equation

$$[r_{ij}, r_{ik}] + [r_{ij}, r_{jk}] + [r_{ik}, r_{jk}] = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

for distinct $i, j, k$, and $[r_{ij}, r_{kl}] = 0$ for distinct $i, j, k, l$. We define the $n$-th triangular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{tr}_n$ by the same generators and relations, with the additional relations

$$r_{ij} = -r_{ji}.$$

We define the $n$-th quasitriangular group $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ to be generated by $R_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, with defining relations given by the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{ij}R_{ik}R_{jk} = R_{jk}R_{ik}R_{ij}. \tag{1.2}$$

and $R_{ij}R_{kl} = R_{kl}R_{ij}$ if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct. We define the $n$-th triangular group $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ by the same generators and relations, with the additional relations

$$R_{ij} = R_{ji}^{-1}.$$

These groups and Lie algebras are trivial for $n = 0, 1$.

These definitions are motivated by the theory of quantum groups, as explained in Section 2.

The main results of the paper are as follows.

1. We prove that the universal enveloping algebras of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$, $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ are Koszul, and compute their Hilbert series. We also compute the cohomology rings of these Lie algebras (which by Koszulity are the quadratic duals of the enveloping algebras). Finally, we construct a basis of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$.

2. We construct classifying spaces of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ and $\mathbf{QTr}_n$. More specifically, a classifying space for the group $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ can be obtained by gluing faces of the $(n-1)$-th permutohedron corresponding to the same set partition, and a similar construction works for $\mathbf{QTr}_n$. Moreover, the boundary maps in the resulting cell complexes are both zero, which allows one to compute the cohomology of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ with integer coefficients.

3. We show that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$, $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ map onto the associated graded algebras of the Malcev Lie algebras of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$, respectively.

4. The quantum group intuition suggests a conjecture that these maps are isomorphisms; in other words, that the ranks of the lower central series quotients for the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ are equal to the dimensions of the homogeneous components of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$, $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$. We prove this conjecture in the case of $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, using the theory of universal quantization of Lie bialgebras.[1]

We note, however, that the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ for $n \geq 4$ are not formal in the sense of Sullivan [Su] (i.e. their Malcev Lie algebras are not isomorphic to their rational holonomy Lie algebras). The same holds for the groups $\mathbf{QTr}_n$, $n \geq 4$. Summarizing, we may say that the properties of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ are similar to those of the pure cactus group $\Gamma_n$ studied in [EHKR].

## 2. Lie algebras and groups corresponding to the Yang-Baxter equations

2.1. **$I$-objects.** Let $I$ be the category of finite sets where morphisms are inclusions. Similarly, let $J$ be the category of ordered finite sets, where morphisms are increasing inclusions.

An $I$-object (respectively, a $J$-object) of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor $I \to \mathcal{C}$ (respectively, $J \to \mathcal{C}$). Thus, an $I$-object (respectively, a $J$-object) is the same thing as a sequence of objects $X_1, X_2, \dots$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a collection of maps $X(f) : X_m \to X_n$ for every injective (respectively, strictly increasing)

[1] Initially, we used the computer system "Gap" [GAP] to show that the conjecture was true for $\mathbf{Tr}_4$ up to degree 7.

map $f : [m] \to [n]$, such that $X(f)X(g) = X(fg)$. [2] A morphism between $I$- and between $J$-objects is, by definition, a morphism of functors.

Obviously, $J$ is a subcategory of $I$ (with the same isomorphism classes of objects but fewer morphisms). Thus every $I$-object is also a $J$-object.

**Example.** Let $A$ be a unital associative algebra. Then we can define the $I$-algebra $T(A)$, such that $T(A)_n = A^{\otimes n}$, and for any $a \in A$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$, $T(A)(f)(a_k) = a_{f(k)}$, where $a_k$ denotes the element $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in A^{\otimes m}$, with $a$ being in the $k$-th component.

2.2. **$I$-Lie algebras associated to the classical Yang-Baxter equation.** Let us define two $I$-Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{tr}$ and $\mathfrak{qtr}$ (the triangular and quasitriangular Lie algebra). Namely, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{tr}_n$ and $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ have been defined above. Now for each injective map $f : [m] \to [n]$ we have the corresponding map $(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}(f) : (\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_m \to (\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n$ given by $f(r_{ij}) = r_{f(i)f(j)}$, which gives $\mathfrak{qtr}$ and $\mathfrak{tr}$ the structure of $I$-Lie algebras.

We can also define the corresponding universal enveloping $I$-algebras $U(\mathfrak{qtr})$, $U(\mathfrak{tr})$ in the obvious way.

This definition is motivated by the following proposition, whose proof is straightforward.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $A$ be a unital associative algebra. Then $\mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathfrak{qtr}, T(A))$ (in the category of $I$-Lie algebras) is the set of elements $r \in A^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying the classical Yang-Baxter equation*

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0,$$

*where $r_{ij}$ is the image in $A^{\otimes 3}$ of $r$ through the map $1 \mapsto i, 2 \mapsto j$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathfrak{tr}, T(A))$ is the set of skew-symmetric elements $r \in A^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying the classical Yang-Baxter equation.*

We have natural homomorphisms $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathfrak{qtr}_n \to \mathfrak{tr}_n$, whose composition is the identity. Namely, the second (surjective) map is defined by sending $r_{ij}$ to $r_{ij}$ for all $i, j$, while the first (injective) map is defined by the same condition, but only for $i < j$. Thus $\mathfrak{tr}_n$ is a split quotient of $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$. We also note that the injection $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathfrak{qtr}_n$ induces a map $\phi : \mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathfrak{qtr}, T(A)) \to \mathrm{Mor}_J(\mathfrak{tr}, T(A))$, which is actually an isomorphism; in particular, $\mathrm{Mor}_J(\mathfrak{tr}, T(A))$ is the set of elements $r \in A^{\otimes 2}$ (not necessarily skew-symmetric) satisfying the classical Yang-Baxter equation.

2.3. **$I$-groups associated to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.** We can also define $I$-groups $\mathbf{QTr}$, $\mathbf{Tr}$, which are quantum analogs of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{qtr}$, $\mathfrak{tr}$. Namely, for each injective map $f : [m] \to [n]$ we have the corresponding map $(\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}(f) : (\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}_m \to (\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}_n$ given by $f(R_{ij}) = R_{f(i)f(j)}$, which gives $\mathbf{QTr}$ and $\mathbf{Tr}$ the structure of $I$-groups.

This definition is motivated by the following proposition, whose proof is straightforward.

[2] Here $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let $A$ be a unital associative algebra. Then* $\mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathbf{QTr}, T(A))$ *(in the category of $I$-monoids) is the set of invertible elements $R \in A^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12},$$

*and* $\mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathbf{Tr}, T(A))$ *is the set of elements $R \in A^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the unitarity condition $R^{21} = R^{-1}$.*

We have natural homomorphisms $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{QTr}_n \to \mathbf{Tr}_n$, whose composition is the identity. Namely, the second (surjective) map is defined by sending $R_{ij}$ to $R_{ij}$ for all $i, j$, while the first (injective) map is defined by the same condition, but only for $i < j$. Thus $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ is a split quotient of $\mathbf{QTr}_n$. We also note that the injection $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{QTr}_n$ induces a map $\phi : \mathrm{Mor}_I(\mathbf{QTr}, T(A)) \to \mathrm{Mor}_J(\mathbf{Tr}, T(A))$, which is actually an isomorphism; in particular, $\mathrm{Mor}_J(\mathbf{Tr}, T(A))$ is the set of elements $R \in A^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (but not necessarily the unitarity condition).

**Remark.** Recall that if $E, F$ are sets, then a (partially defined) function $f : E \to F$ is a pair $(D_f, \bar{f})$ where $D_f \subset E$, and $\bar{f} : D_f \to F$ is a map. Define $I'$ (resp., $J'$) as the opposite of the category whose objects are finite (resp., ordered finite) sets and morphisms are partially defined functions (resp., non-decreasing functions). Then $\mathfrak{qtr}$, $\mathfrak{tr}$ are $I'$-Lie algebras, and $\mathbf{QTr}$, $\mathbf{Tr}$ are $J'$-groups. A partially defined function $f : [n] \to [m]$ gives rise to a morphism $(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_m \to (\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n$ by $r_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{i' \in f^{-1}(i), j' \in f^{-1}(j)} r_{i'j'}$. Similarly, a non-decreasing partially defined function $f : [n] \to [m]$ gives rise to the morphism $(\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}_m \to (\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}_n$, by $R_{ij} \mapsto \prod_{i' \in f^{-1}(i), j' \in f^{-1}(j)} R_{i'j'}$ (where the product is taken in increasing order of $i', j'$).

2.4. **Prounipotent completions.** For a discrete group $G$, let $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ denote the Lie algebra of the $\mathbb{Q}$-prounipotent completion of $G$ (i.e., the Malcev Lie algebra of $G$), and let $\mathrm{grLie}(G)$ be the associated graded of this Lie algebra with respect to the lower central series filtration. If $G$ is an $I$-group, these are $I$-Lie algebras.

We have natural surjective homomorphisms of $I$-Lie algebras $\phi_{(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}} : (\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr} \to \mathrm{grLie}((\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr})$, given by the formula $r_{ij} \to \log R_{ij}$.

One of the main results of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The homomorphism $\phi_{\mathfrak{tr}}$ is an isomorphism.*

This theorem is proved in Sections 6 and 7.

**Conjecture 2.4.** *The homomorphism $\phi_{\mathfrak{qtr}}$ is an isomorphism.*

This conjecture is motivated by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let $K$ be the kernel of $\phi_{\mathfrak{qtr}}$. Then $K$ is annihilated by every morphism in* $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathfrak{qtr}, T(A))$ *for any algebra $A$.*

*Proof.* By a result of [EK1, EK2], any solution $r \in A \otimes A$ of the classical Yang-Baxter equation can be quantized to a solution $R = 1 + \hbar r + O(\hbar^2)$

of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. This implies that any morphism $(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr} \to T(A)$ can be deformed to a morphism $(\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr} \to T(A)$, which implies the required statement. $\square$

**Remark.** It is obvious that $\mathfrak{tr}_3$ is a free product of abelian Lie algebras $\mathbb{Q}^2 * \mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbf{Tr}_3$ is a free product $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$; therefore $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{Tr}_3) = \mathfrak{tr}_3$. However, we have checked using "Magma" that $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{tr}_n$ for $n = 4$, already modulo elements of degree 5. Since we have split injections $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathfrak{tr}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{Tr}_{n+1}$, the same statement holds for $n > 4$. This implies that the group $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ is not formal for $n \geq 4$ (i.e. its classifying space is not a formal topological space, see [Su]). Since we have a split injection $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{Qtr}_n$, the same holds[3] for the groups $\mathbf{QTr}_n$, $n \geq 4$.

## 3. The Koszulity and Hilbert series of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$, $U(\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{tr}_n)$.

One of the main results of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *(i) The algebras $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$, $U(\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{tr}_n)$ are Koszul.*

*(ii) The Hilbert series of these algebras are equal to $1/P_{(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n}(-t)$, where $P_{(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)$ are the polynomials with the following exponential generating functions:*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)\frac{u^n}{n!} = e^{\frac{e^{tu}-1}{t}}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)\frac{u^n}{n!} = e^{\frac{u}{1-tu}}. \tag{3.2}$$

*(iii) The polynomials $P_{(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)$ are given by the following explicit formulas:*

$$P_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^i \binom{k}{i}(k-i)^n\Big)t^{n-k}.$$

*(the palindrome of Bell's exponential polynomial,* [Be]*);*

$$P_{\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p} \frac{n!}{(n-p)!}t^p.$$

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Part (iii) of the theorem follows by direct computation from part (ii), so we prove only parts (i) and (ii).

[3]For basics about formality of groups, see e.g. [PS].

4.1. **The triangular case.** Recall that if $B$ is a quadratic algebra, then the quadratic dual $B^!$ is the quadratic algebra with generators $B[1]^*$ and relations $B[2]^\perp \subset B[1]^* \otimes B[1]^*$.

Consider the quadratic dual algebra $A_n$ to $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$. Denote by $a_{ij}$ the set of generators of $A_n$ dual to the generators $r_{ij}$ of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$ (so $a_{ij}$ are defined for distinct $i, j \in [n]$, and $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$).

**Lemma 4.1.** *The algebra $A_n$ is the supercommutative algebra generated by odd generators $a_{ij}$, $1 \le i \ne j \le n$, with defining relations $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 0$, and*

$$a_{ij}a_{jk} = a_{jk}a_{ki}$$

*for any three distinct indices $i, j, k$.*

*Proof.* Let $\omega = \sum a_{ij} r_{ij} \in A_n \otimes \mathfrak{tr}_n$. The relations of $A_n$ can be written as the Maurer-Cartan equation $[\omega, \omega] = 0$ (where the commutator is taken in the supersense). Taking components of this equation, we get the relations for $a_{ij}$. □

Lemma 4.1 allows us to easily find a basis of $A_n$. Namely, define a monomial in $A_n$ to be reduced if it is of the form $a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1 i_3} \dots a_{i_1 i_m}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$. The support of this monomial is the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, and the root label is $i_1$.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Products of reduced monomials with disjoint supports (in the order of increasing the root labels) form a basis in $A_n$.*

*Proof.* Take any monomial in $A_n$. If it is not a product of reduced ones with disjoint supports, then it has a quadratic factor of the form $a_{ij}a_{jk}$, where $j > i$ or $j > k$. Using the relations, we can then replace it with another quadratic monomial, so that the total sum of labels is reduced. This implies that products of reduced monomials span $A_n$. The fact that these products are linearly independent is easy, since all relations are binomial. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *(i) The elements $a_{ij}a_{jk} - a_{jk}a_{ki}$ with $k < i, j$ form a quadratic Gröbner basis for supercommutative algebras [4] for $A_n$ (for any ordering of monomials in which the sum of labels is monotonically nondecreasing).*

*(ii) $A_n$ is Koszul.*

*(iii) The Hilbert polynomial of $A_n$ is $P_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)$.*

*Proof.* (i) follows from the Proposition 4.2. (ii) follows from (i) since any supercommutative algebra with a quadratic Gröbner basis is Koszul (see e.g. [Yu], Theorem 6.16). To prove (iii), note that Proposition 4.2 implies that $\dim A_n[k]$ is the number of partitions of the set $[n]$ into $n-k$ nonempty parts (see [Wi]), so the result follows from standard combinatorics. □

Corollary 4.3 and the standard theory of Koszul algebras imply Theorem 3.1 in the triangular case. Indeed, the dual of a Koszul algebra is Koszul, and the Hilbert series of a Koszul algebra and its dual are related by the equation $p(t)q(-t) = 1$.

[4] About Gröbner bases for supercommutative algebras, see e.g. [MV].

4.2. **The quasitriangular case.** The proof in the quasitriangular case is analogous although a bit more complicated. Let us split $r_{ij} \in \mathfrak{qtr}_n$ into a symmetric and skew-symmetric part: $r_{ij} = t_{ij} + \rho_{ij}$, where $t_{ij}$ is symmetric and $\rho_{ij}$ is skewsymmetric in $i, j$. Then the defining relations for $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ take the form:

$$[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0,\ [t_{ij}, \rho_{ik} + \rho_{jk}] = 0,$$
$$[\rho_{ij}, \rho_{jk}] + [\rho_{jk}, \rho_{ki}] + [\rho_{ki}, \rho_{ij}] = [t_{ij}, t_{jk}],$$

for distinct $i, j, k$, and

$$[\rho_{ij}, \rho_{kl}] = [\rho_{ij}, t_{kl}] = [t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$$

if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct.

As before, consider the quadratic dual algebra $QA_n$ to $U(\mathfrak{qtr}_n)$. Denote by $a_{ij}$, $b_{ij}$ the set of generators of $QA_n$ dual to the generators $\rho_{ij}$ and $t_{ij}$ of $U(\mathfrak{qtr}_n)$ (so $a_{ij}$, $b_{ij}$ are defined for distinct $i, j \in [n]$, and $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$, $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$).

**Lemma 4.4.** *The algebra $QA_n$ is the supercommutative algebra generated by odd generators $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$ with defining relations*

$$a_{jk}a_{ij} = a_{ki}a_{jk} = b_{ij}b_{jk} + b_{jk}b_{ki} + b_{ki}b_{ij},$$
$$a_{ij}b_{jk} = a_{ik}b_{jk}$$

*for any three distinct indices $i, j, k$, and*

$$a_{ij}b_{ij} = 0,$$

*for $i \neq j$.*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

The algebra $QA_n$ has a filtration in which $\deg(b_{ij}) = 1$, and $\deg(a_{ij}) = 0$. In the algebra $\mathrm{gr}QA_n$, the graded versions of the above relations are satisfied. These graded versions are the same as the original relations, except for the first set of relations in Lemma 4.4, which is replaced by

$$a_{ij}a_{jk} = a_{jk}a_{ki},\ b_{ij}b_{jk} + b_{jk}b_{ki} + b_{ki}b_{ij} = 0.$$

Let $QA_n^0$ be the algebra with generators $a_{ij}$, $b_{ij}$, whose defining relations are the graded version of the relations of $QA_n$. We have a surjective homomorphism $QA_n^0 \to \mathrm{gr}QA_n$ (we will show below that it is an isomorphism). Note that we have a split injection $OS_n \to QA_n^0$ from the Orlik-Solomon algebra of the braid arrangement (generated by $b_{ij}$) to $QA_n^0$.

The exterior algebra in $a_{ij}$ and $b_{ij}$ is graded, as a space, by 2-step set partitions: partitions of $[n]$ into nonempty sets $S_1, \dots, S_l$, and then of each $S_p$ into nonempty subsets $S_{pq}$, $q = 1, \dots, m_p$. Namely, if we are given a monomial $M$ in $a_{ij}$ and $b_{ij}$, we connect $i, j$ by a black edge if $b_{ij}$ is present in $M$, and by a red edge if $b_{ij}$ is not present, but $a_{ij}$ is present. Then we define the $S_p$ to be the connected components of the obtained graph, and the $S_{pq}$ to be the connected components of the graph of black edges only.

It is easy to see that the relations of $QA_n^0$ are compatible with this grading, and thus that $QA_n^0$ also has a grading by 2-step set partitions. This fact allows us to find a basis of $QA_n^0$.

Namely, let $S = (S_{pq})$ be a 2-step set partition of $[n]$, and let $i_{pq}$ be the minimal element of $S_{pq}$. Let $i_p$ be the minimum of $i_{pq}$ over all $q$, and $q_p$ be such that $i_{pq_p} = i_p$.

For $T \subset [n]$, let $OS(T)$ be the Orlik-Solomon algebra generated by $b_{ij}$, $i, j \in T$. Let $\{b(T, s)\}$, $1 \le s \le (|T| - 1)!$, be the broken circuit basis of the top component of this algebra (see [Yu]).

Let $QA_n^0(S)$ be the degree $S$ part of $QA_n^0$.

**Proposition 4.5.** *The elements* $\prod_{p=1}^{r}(\prod_{q=1}^{s_p} b(S_{pq}, s_{pq}) \prod_{q \neq q_p} a_{i_p i_{pq}})$ *for all* $s_{pq}$ *form a basis of* $QA_n^0(S)$.

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.2. Namely, it is easy to show that any monomial in $QA_n^0(S)$ can be reduced, using the relations, to a monomial from Proposition 4.5. On the other hand, it is clear that the monomials in Proposition 4.2 are linearly independent (this follows from compatibility of the relations with the grading by 2-step set partitions). □

**Corollary 4.6.** *(i) The algebra* $QA_n^0$ *has a quadratic Gröbner basis.*

*(ii)* $QA_n^0$ *is Koszul.*

*(iii) The Hilbert polynomial of* $QA_n^0$ *is* $P_{\mathfrak{qtr}_n}(t)$.

*Proof.* (i) Pick any ordering of monomials with sum of labels monotonically nondecreasing. It is well known that the Orlik-Solomon algebra $OS_n$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to this ordering, compiled of all the relations (see [Yu]): the initial monomials for this basis are products $b_{ip}b_{jp}$ with $p > i, j$. Putting this basis together with the elements $a_{ij}a_{jk} - a_{jk}a_{ki}$ for $k < i, j$, $a_{ij}b_{ij}$, $a_{ij}b_{jk} - a_{ik}b_{jk}$ for $k < j$, we get a quadratic Gröbner basis of $QA_n^0$. This implies (i).

(ii) follows from (i).

(iii) This reduces to counting 2-step partitions $S$ with weights. We will adopt the following construction of such partitions: first we partition $[n]$ into $r$ nonempty subsets $S(i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, and then pick a set partition of $[r]$ into $l$ parts $T_1, \dots, T_l$ to decide when we will have $S(i) = S_{pq} \subset S_p$; namely, $S(i) \subset S_p$ if and only if $i \in T_p$.

Let $s_p = |T_p|$, $p = 1, \dots, l$, and $d_i$ be the sizes of the parts $S(i)$.

Let $P(t)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $QA_n^0$. Let

$$F(t, u) := 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} P_n(t) \frac{u^n}{n!}.$$

We have

$$F(t,u)=1+\sum_{r,\ell}\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_r>0\\ d_1+\dots+d+r=n}}\frac{n!}{d_1!\cdots d_r!}\frac{(d_1-1)!\dots(d_r-1)!}{r!}\times\sum_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_\ell>0\\ s_1+\dots+s_\ell=r}}\frac{r!}{s_1!\cdots s_\ell!}\frac{t^{n-\ell}}{\ell!}\frac{u^n}{n!}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\frac{n!}{d_1!\cdots d_r!}$ is the number of ways to choose the parts $S(i)$ once the sizes of $S(i)$ have been fixed, the factor $1/r!$ accounts for the fact that the order of the parts $S(i)$ does not matter, $(d_i-1)!$ are the sizes of the top components of the algebras $OS(S(i))$, $\frac{r!}{s_1!\dots s_\ell!}$ is the number of ways to choose the parts $T_p$ once their sizes have been fixed, and $1/\ell!$ accounts for the fact that the order of the parts $T_p$ does not matter.

Cancelling $n!, r!, (d_i-1)!$ and summing over $n, d_i$, we get

$$F(t,u)=1+\sum_{r,\ell}\sum_{\substack{s_1,\dots,s_\ell>0\\ s_1+\dots+s_\ell=r}}\frac{(-\log(1-tu))^r}{s_1!\dots s_\ell!}\frac{t^{-\ell}}{\ell!}.$$

Now summing over $r>0, s_p$ we get

$$F(t,u)=1+\sum_{\ell}\left((1-tu)^{-1}-1\right)^{\ell}\frac{t^{-\ell}}{\ell!}=e^{\frac{u}{1-tu}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark.** Let $P(t,v)=\sum D_{pq}t^pv^q$, where $D_{pq}$ is the dimension of the space of elements of $QA_n^0$ of degree $p$, which have degree $n-q$ with respect to the variables $b_{ij}$. Set $F(t,u,v)=1+\sum_n P(t,v)u^n/n!$. Then the expression for $F(t,u,v)$ is obtained as above, except that we need to insert a factor $v^r$. This implies that

$$F(t,u,v)=\exp\frac{(1-tu)^{-v}-1}{t}$$

**Proposition 4.7.** *(i) The natural map $QA_n^0\to\mathrm{gr}(QA_n)$ is an isomorphism.*
*(ii) $QA_n$ is Koszul.*

*Proof.* (i) By Koszulity of $QA_n^0$, it is sufficient to check (see e.g. [BG]) that the map is bijective in degrees $\le 3$, which is a direct computation. Part (ii) follows from (i), since if $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ is Koszul, so is $A$. □

Similarly to the previous section, Proposition 4.7 implies Theorem 3.1 in the quasitriangular case. Thus Theorem 3.1 is proved.

4.3. **Connection with the pure braid groups.** Let $\mathbf{PB}_n$ be the pure braid group on $n$ strands. Let $\mathfrak{pb}_n$ be the Lie algebra of its prounipotent completion. According to the results of Kohno [Ko], this Lie algebra is isomorphic to its graded, and is generated by $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$, $i \neq j$, $i, j \in [n]$, with defining relations

$$[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0,$$

and $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct.

We have a group homomorphism $\Psi_n : \mathbf{PB}_n \to \mathbf{QTr}_n$ defined by

$$T_{ij} \mapsto R_{j-1,j}...R_{i+1,j}R_{ij}R_{ji}(R_{j-1,j}...R_{i+1,j})^{-1}$$

where $T_{ij} = (\sigma_{j-1}...\sigma_{i+1})\sigma_i^2(\sigma_{j-1}...\sigma_{i+1})^{-1}$ are the Artin-Burau generators of $\mathbf{PB}_n$, and $\sigma_i$ are the Artin generators of the full braid group.

The infinitesimal analog of $\Psi_n$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism $\psi_n : \mathfrak{pb}_n \to \mathfrak{qtr}_n$ defined by the formula $t_{ij} \mapsto r_{ij} + r_{ji}$.

It is clear that the kernel of the projection $\mathbf{QTr}_n \to \mathbf{Tr}_n$ is the normal closure of the image of $\Psi_n$. Similarly, the kernel of the projection $\mathfrak{qtr}_n \to \mathfrak{tr}_n$ is the normal closure of the image of $\psi_n$.

**Proposition 4.8.** *The homomorphisms $\psi_n, \Psi_n$ are injective.*

The proof of Proposition 4.8 is given below in subsection 7.2.

**Remark.** Here is another proof of the fact that $\psi_n$ is injective. Let $\mathfrak{qtr}_n^0$ be the associated graded of $\mathfrak{qtr}_n$ under the filtration defined by $\deg(t_{ij}) = 0$, $\deg(\rho_{ij}) = 1$. Proposition 4.7 implies that the defining relations for this Lie algebra are

$$[t_{ij}, t_{ik} + t_{jk}] = 0, \ [t_{ij}, \rho_{ik} + \rho_{jk}] = 0,$$

$$[\rho_{ij}, \rho_{jk}] + [\rho_{jk}, \rho_{ki}] + [\rho_{ki}, \rho_{ij}] = 0,$$

for distinct $i, j, k$, and

$$[\rho_{ij}, \rho_{kl}] = [\rho_{ij}, t_{kl}] = [t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$$

if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct, and its universal enveloping algebra is the quadratic dual to $QA_n^0$. Let $\psi_n^0 = \mathrm{gr}\psi_n : \mathfrak{pb}_n \to \mathfrak{qtr}_n^0$. Then it follows from the above relations that $\psi_n^0$ (unlike $\psi_n$) is a *split* homomorphism, hence it is injective. Thus $\psi_n$ is injective as well.

## 5. A basis in $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$.

Let us construct a basis of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$. Fix a labeling of the set of pairs $(i, j)$ with $i < j$ by numbers $1, \dots, n(n-1)/2$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $(i, j) \to N(i, j)$, such $N(i, j) < N(j, k)$ for any $i < j < k$.

**Proposition 5.1.** *A basis in $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$ is formed by monomials in generators $r_{ij}$, $i < j$, which do not contain expressions of the form $r_{jk}r_{ij}$ and $r_{kl}r_{ij}$ for $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ and $N(k, l) > N(i, j)$.*

*Proof.* Let us call the monomials defined in the proposition "legal monomials". Let us show that legal monomials form a spanning set. Suppose we have any monomial $x = r_{i_1 j_1} \dots r_{i_m j_m}$ with $i_s < j_s$. Let us define the stretch of $x$ to be $\sum_s (j_s - i_s)$, and the weight $W(x) = \sum_s sN(i_s, j_s)$. Now, if $x = y r_{jk} r_{ij} z$ then we use the classical Yang-Baxter equation to reduce it to a sum of monomials of bigger stretch $(y([r_{ij}, r_{ik}] + [r_{ik}, r_{jk}])z)$ or the same stretch but bigger weight $(y r_{ij} r_{jk} z)$. Similarly, if $(k,l) > (i,j)$ are disjoint pairs then $y r_{kl} r_{ij} z = y r_{ij} r_{kl} z$, and the second monomial has the same stretch but bigger weight that the first one. This implies that repeating such procedures we will represent $x$ as a linear combination of legal monomials, as desired.

It remains to show that the legal monomials are linearly independent. To show this, note that by standard combinatorial arguments (see e.g. [St], Exercise 3.48(d)) one sees that the generating function of the numbers of these monomials of a given length is exactly $1/P_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}(-t)$. □

**Remarks.** 1. Another proof of linear independence of legal monomials in Proposition 5.1 (as well as the Hilbert series and Koszulity) comes from the fact that, as follows from our proof, the forbidden quadratic monomials are initial monomials of a Gröbner basis of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$. [5]

2. We don't know how to explicitly construct a basis of $U(\mathfrak{qtr}_n)$.

## 6. An injection $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n) \to (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n})_{\mathrm{univ}}$

In this section, we fix an integer $n \geq 1$. In [En1], the second author introduced

$$U_n^{\mathrm{univ}} = (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n})_{\mathrm{univ}} := \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} \left( (\mathcal{FA}_N^{\otimes n})_{\sum_i \delta_i} \otimes (\mathcal{FA}_N^{\otimes n})_{\sum_i \delta_i} \right)_{\mathfrak{S}_N}.$$

Here $\mathcal{FA}_N$ is the free algebra generated by $x_1, \dots, x_N$. It is graded by $\oplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \delta_i$ ($\deg(x_i) = \delta_i$), and equipped with the action of $\mathfrak{S}_N$ permuting the generators. The index $\sum_i \delta_i$ means the part of degree $\sum_i \delta_i$, and the index $\mathfrak{S}_N$ means the coinvariants of the diagonal action of $\mathfrak{S}_N$. We also defined an algebra structure on $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$. It has the following property: if $(A, r_A)$ is an algebra equipped with a solution $r_A = \sum_{i \in I} a(i) \otimes b(i) \in A^{\otimes 2}$ of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, then the linear map $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}} \to A^{\otimes n}$ taking

$$\Big( x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} \otimes x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k_{n-1}+1} \cdots x_N \Big)$$
$$\otimes \Big( x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(\ell_1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(\ell_1+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(\ell_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(\ell_{n-1}+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(N)} \Big)$$

[5] For basics on Gröbner bases of noncommutative algebras, see e.g. [Mor]; for their origin see [Sh], [B].

to

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_N\in I} a(i_1)\cdots a(i_{k_1})b(i_{\sigma(1)})\cdots b(i_{\sigma(\ell_1)})\otimes a(i_{k_1+1})\cdots a(i_{k_2})b(i_{\sigma(\ell_1+1)})\cdots b(i_{\sigma(\ell_2)})$$
$$\otimes\cdots\otimes a(i_{k_{n-1}+1})\cdots a(i_N)b(i_{\sigma(\ell_{n-1}+1)})\cdots b(i_{\sigma(N)})$$

is an algebra morphism.

Let us set $r_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}} = (1^{\otimes i-1}\otimes x_1\otimes 1^{\otimes n-i})\otimes(1^{\otimes j-1}\otimes x_1\otimes 1^{\otimes n-j})\in U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$. Then we have a Lie algebra morphism $\zeta_n : \mathfrak{qtr}_n \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$, $r_{ij}\mapsto r_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}}$. As $\mathfrak{tr}_n\subset\mathfrak{qtr}_n$, we have an induced Lie algebra morphism $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)\to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$.

**Proposition 6.1.** *The morphism $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)\to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is injective.*

*Proof.* This morphism is clearly graded. Let us describe bases of the degree $N$ parts of both spaces.

A basis of the degree $N$ part of $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is $(x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma})_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}\in\mathrm{Inc}_{n,N},\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N}$, where

$$x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma} = \Big(x_1\cdots x_{k_1}\otimes x_{k_1+1}\cdots x_{k_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{k_{n-1}+1}\cdots x_N\Big)$$
$$\otimes\Big(x_{\sigma(1)}\cdots x_{\sigma(\ell_1)}\otimes x_{\sigma(\ell_1+1)}\cdots x_{\sigma(\ell_2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{\sigma(\ell_{n-1}+1)}\cdots x_{\sigma(N)}\Big),$$

$\mathrm{Inc}_{n,N}$ is the set of non-decreasing maps from $[n-1]$ to $\{0,\dots,N-1\}$, $\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\dots,k_{n-1})$, $\mathbf{l}=(\ell_1,\dots,\ell_{n-1})$.

We now describe a basis of the degree $N$ part of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$. Let us say that the inverse of the monomial $w_1\cdots w_N$ is $w_N\cdots w_1$. Applying the antipode (or the automorphism $r_{ij}\mapsto r_{N+1-j,N+1-i}$) to $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$, we see that the set consisting of the inverses of the monomials of Proposition 5.1 is still a basis of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$.

We will denote this set by $S\subset X^*(r_{ij}, i<j)$ (here $X^*(A)$ is the free monoid over the alphabet $A$), and by $S_N$ the subset of monomials of length $N$.

We choose $(i,j)\mapsto N(i,j)$ to be the lexicographic order. Then $S$ is the set of words in $r_{ij}$ which do not contain expressions of the form $r_{ij}r_{jk}$ for $i<j<k$, or of the form $r_{ij}r_{i'j'}$ for $i<i'$ and $\{i,j\}\cap\{i',j'\}=\emptyset$.

We will show that the morphism $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)\to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ sets up an injection from (a) the basis of the degree $N$ part of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$ constituted by the inverses of the monomials of Proposition 5.1, i.e., by the image of $S_N$ in $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$ by the natural injection to (b) the set of $(x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma})_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}\in\mathrm{Inc}_{n,N},\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N}$.

**Lemma 6.2.** *The image of $S_N\to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is contained in the set of all $(x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma})_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}\in\mathrm{Inc}_{n,N},\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N}$. We therefore get a map $\alpha_N : S_N\to\mathrm{Inc}_{n,N}^2\times\mathfrak{S}_N$.*

*Proof.* Take $s=r_{i_1j_1}\cdots r_{i_Nj_N}\in S_N$. For any $i=1,\dots,N$, set $A_i=\{\alpha\in[N]|i_\alpha=i\}$, $B_i=\{\alpha\in[N]|j_\alpha=i\}$. Then $s$ does not contain any $r_{i'i}r_{ii''}$ as a subword (with $i'<i<i''$). Therefore $\max(A_i)<\min(B_i)$.

In the image of $s$ in $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$, no factor will need reordering in order to be expressed as a linear combination of the $x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma}$. So the image will be a monomial $x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma}$.

For example, when $n = 4$ (the forbidden subwords are $r_{12}r_{23}$, $r_{12}r_{24}$, $r_{13}r_{34}$, $r_{23}r_{34}$, $r_{13}r_{24}$, $r_{12}r_{34}$, $r_{14}r_{23}$), the image of $r_{34}r_{24}r_{23}r_{12}r_{13}r_{14}$ in $A^{\otimes 4}$ is

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_6\in I} a(i_4)a(i_5)a(i_6)\otimes a(i_2)a(i_3)b(i_4)\otimes a(i_1)b(i_3)b(i_5)\otimes b(i_1)b(i_2)b(i_6),$$

which is well-ordered in each factor (i.e., $a$'s always are at the left of $b$'s), and corresponds to $\big(x_1x_2x_3\otimes x_4x_5\otimes x_6\otimes 1\big)\otimes\big(1\otimes x_1\otimes x_5x_2\otimes x_6x_4x_3\big)$, which is $x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma}$ where $(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma)$ is $\mathbf{k} = (3,5,6,6)$, $\mathbf{l} = (0,1,3,6)$, and $\sigma = (152643)$. □

Define $\Sigma_N$ as the set of equivalence classes of quintuples $(E,F,\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma)$, where $E,F$ are totally ordered sets of cardinality $N$, $\underline{I} = (I_1,\dots,I_n)$, $\underline{J} = (J_1,\dots,J_n)$ are partitions of $E,F$ by $n$ consecutive (possibly empty) subsets, and $\sigma : F \to E$ is a bijection. Two quintuples $(E,F,\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma)$ and $(E',F',\underline{I}',\underline{J}',\sigma')$ are equivalent if and only if there exist ordered bijections $u : E \to E'$ and $v : F \to F'$ transporting $(\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma)$ to $(\underline{I}',\underline{J}',\sigma')$. Then we have a canonical bijection $\mathrm{Inc}^2_{n,N}\times\mathfrak{S}_N \simeq \Sigma_N$, obtained by taking $(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma)$ to the class of $([N],[N],\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma)$, where $I_i = [k_{i-1}+1,k_i]$ and $J_i = [\ell_{i-1}+1,\ell_i]$. We will henceforth identify both sets.

We now show that the map $\alpha_N : S_N \to \Sigma_N$ is injective. We will show this by induction on $N$. The map $S_N \to \{(i,j)\in[n]|i<j\}$ taking a word to its first letter gives rise to a partition of $S_N$. This partition has the form[6] $S_N = \bigsqcup_{i,j\in[n]|i<j} r_{ij}S^{ij}_{N-1}$, where $S^{ij}_{N-1}\subset S_{N-1}$ is the subset of all words containing (i) no $r_{jk}$ where $j<k$, and (ii) no $r_{i'j'}$ where $i'>i$ and $\{i,j\}\cap\{i',j'\} = \emptyset$.

Let us denote by $\Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}\subset\Sigma_{N-1}$ the set of equivalence classes of $(E,F,\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma)$, such that $I_j = \emptyset$ and that if $\{i,j\}\cap\{i',j'\} = \emptyset$ and $i'>i$ then $\sigma(J_{j'})\cap I_{i'} = \emptyset$.

**Lemma 6.3.** *$\alpha_{N-1}$ takes $S^{ij}_{N-1}$ to $\Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}$.*

*Proof.* As a word in $S^{ij}_{N-1}$ contains no $r_{jk}$ with $j<k$, its image in $A^{\otimes n}$ contains no $a$ in the factor $j$; this means that the corresponding universal element $x_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma}$ is such that $k_j = k_{j-1}$, i.e., $I_j = \emptyset$. If now $(i',j')$ with $i'<j'$ is fixed, the condition that a word from $S$ contains no $r_{i'j'}$ implies that its image in $A^{\otimes n}$ does not contain an $a(i_\alpha)$ in factor $i'$ and the corresponding $b(i_\alpha)$ in factor $j'$. This means that the $(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\sigma)$ corresponding to this word is such that $\sigma([\ell_{j'-1}+1,\ell_{j'}])\cap[k_{i'-1}+1,k_{i'}] = \emptyset$, i.e., $\sigma(J_{j'})\cap I_{i'} = \emptyset$. □

Define the map $\rho_{ij} : \Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}\to\Sigma_N$ by $\rho_{ij}(E,F,\underline{I},\underline{J},\sigma) = (E',F',\underline{I}',\underline{J}',\sigma')$, where: $E' = E\sqcup\{e\}$, $F' = F\sqcup\{f\}$; the order relations on $E',F'$ extend those of $E,F$ with the additional rules $x<e<x'$ if $x\in\cup_{\alpha|\alpha<i}I_\alpha$, $x'\in\cup_{\alpha|\alpha\geq i}I_\alpha$, and $y<f<y'$ if $y\in\cup_{\beta|\beta<j}J_\beta$, $y'\in\cup_{\beta|\beta\geq j}J_\beta$; $\sigma'$ extends $\sigma$ and satisfies $\sigma'(f) = e$; finally, $I'_i = I_i\cup\{e\}$, $I'_\alpha = I_\alpha$ for $\alpha\neq i$; and $J'_j = J_j\cup\{f\}$, $J'_\beta = J_\beta$ for $\beta\neq j$.

[6] We denote disjoint union by $\sqcup$.

We then have:

**Lemma 6.4.** *If $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma')$ belongs to the image of $\rho_{ij}$, then $I'_i \neq \emptyset$, $J'_j \neq \emptyset$, $\sigma'(\min(J'_j)) = \min(I'_i)$, and $I'_j = \emptyset$.*

*Proof.* Let $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma') = \rho_{ij}(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$, with $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma) \in \Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}$. The first properties are clear; then since $i < j$, $I'_j = I_j$, and we have $I_j = \emptyset$, hence $I'_j = \emptyset$. □

Then we have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{ij}_{N-1} & \overset{x \mapsto r_{ij}x}{\to} & S_N \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_{N-1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_N} \\ \Sigma^{ij}_{N-1} & \overset{\rho_{ij}}{\to} & \Sigma_N \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

We will show that: (a) each $\rho_{ij}$ is injective, and (b) the images of the various $\rho_{ij}$ are disjoint. Then we conclude using the induction hypothesis.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Each $\rho_{ij}$ is injective.*

*Proof.* Let $\Xi^{ij}_N \subset \Sigma_N$ be the set of classes of quintuples $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma')$ satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 6.4. There is a unique map $\beta_{ij} : \Xi^{ij}_N \to \Sigma_{N-1}$, such that $\beta_{ij}(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma') = (E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$, where: $E = E' \setminus \{\min I'_i\}$, $F = F' \setminus \{\min J'_j\}$; the order relations on $E, F$ are induced by those on $E', F'$; $I_i = I'_i \setminus \{\min I'_i\}$, $J_j := J'_j \setminus \{\min J'_j\}$, $I_\alpha := I'_\alpha$ if $\alpha \neq i$, $J_\beta := J'_\beta$ if $\beta \neq j$; $\sigma : F \to E$ is the restriction of $\sigma'$ to $F$.

Then the image of $\rho_{ij}$ is contained in $\Xi^{ij}_N$, and $\beta_{ij} \circ \rho_{ij}$ is the natural inclusion $\Sigma^{ij}_{N-1} \subset \Sigma_{N-1}$. It follows that $\rho_{ij}$ is injective. □

**Lemma 6.6.** *The images of the various $\rho_{ij}$ are disjoint.*

*Proof.* Let $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma) \in \Sigma_N$. Assume that it belongs to the images of both $\rho_{ij}$ and $\rho_{ij'}$, where $i < j < j'$. As it belongs to the image of $\rho_{ij}$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 that $J_j \neq \emptyset$, $I_i \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_j) = \min I_i$. Similarly, $J_{j'} \neq \emptyset$, $I_i \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_{j'}) = \min I_i$. Now $j < j'$, hence $J_j$ and $J_{j'}$ are disjoint, so $\min I_i$ has at least two antecedents by $\sigma$, contradicting the fact that $\sigma$ is a bijection.

In the same way, if $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma) \in \Sigma_N$ belongs to the images of both $\rho_{ij}$ and $\rho_{i'j}$, where $i < i' < j$, then Lemma 6.4 implies that $J_j \neq \emptyset$, $I_i \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_j) = \min I_i$; and that $I_{i'} \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_j) = \min I_{i'}$; so finally $\min I_i = \min I_{i'}$. But $i < i'$, so $I_i$ and $I_{i'}$ are disjoint, a contradiction.

Assume now that $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma) \in \Sigma_N$ belongs to the images of both $\rho_{ij}$ and $\rho_{i'j'}$, where $i < i'$ and $\{i, j\} \cap \{i', j'\} = \emptyset$. Then the preimage of $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$ by $\rho_{ij}$ is $\beta_{ij}(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$, which we denote by $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma')$. We claim that $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma')$ does not belong to $\Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}$.

Indeed, since $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$ belongs to the image of $\rho_{i'j'}$, we have $I_{i'} \neq \emptyset$, $J_{j'} \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_{j'}) = \min I_{i'}$; and as it belongs to the image of $\rho_{ij}$, we have $I_i \neq \emptyset$, $J_j \neq \emptyset$, and $\sigma(\min J_j) = \min I_i$.

Recall that $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma')$ is given by: $E' = E \setminus \{\min I_i\}$, $F' = F \setminus \{\min J_j\}$, $I'_i = I_i \setminus \{\min I_i\}$, $J'_j = J_j \setminus \{\min J_j\}$, $I'_\alpha = I_\alpha$ if $\alpha \neq i$, $J'_\beta = J_\beta$ if $\beta \neq j$, and $\sigma' : F' \to E'$ is the restriction of $\sigma : F \to E$ to $F$.

Now since $i' \neq i$, we have $I'_{i'} = I_{i'}$; since $j' \neq j$, we have $J'_{j'} = J_{j'}$. We also know that $I_{i'}$ and $J_{j'}$ are nonempty, and $\sigma(\min J_{j'}) = \min I_{i'}$. Hence $\sigma'(\min J'_{j'}) = \min I'_{i'}$. Therefore $\sigma'(J'_{j'}) \cap I'_{i'} \neq \emptyset$, whereas $i < i'$ and $\{i, j\} \cap \{i', j'\} = \emptyset$. Therefore $(E', F', \underline{I}', \underline{J}', \sigma') \notin \Sigma^{ij}_{N-1}$.

So $(E, F, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \sigma)$ does not belong to the image of $\rho_{ij}$, a contradiction. □

We now prove:

**Lemma 6.7.** *The map $\alpha_N : S_N \to \Sigma_N$ is injective.*

*Proof.* Let us show this by induction on $N$. This is obvious if $N = 0, 1$. Assume that this is true at step $N-1$ and let us show it at step $N$.

Let $w, w' \in S_N$ such that $\alpha_N(w) = \alpha_N(w')$. Let $r_{ij}$ (resp., $r_{i'j'}$) be the first letter of $w$ (resp., of $w'$); we then have $w = r_{ij}x$ and $w' = r_{i'j'}x'$, with $x \in S^{ij}_{N-1}$, $x' \in S^{i',j'}_{N-1}$. Then $\alpha_N(w) = \rho_{ij}(\alpha_{N-1}(x))$ and $\alpha_N(w') = \rho_{i'j'}(\alpha_{N-1}(x'))$, so $\rho_{ij}(\alpha_{N-1}(x)) = \rho_{i'j'}(\alpha_{N-1}(x'))$. Since the images of $\rho_{ij}$ and $\rho_{i'j'}$ are disjoint if $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$, we get $(i, j) = (i', j')$. Then since $\rho_{ij}$ is injective, we get $\alpha_{N-1}(x) = \alpha_{N-1}(x')$. By the induction hypothesis, $\alpha_{N-1}$ is injective, so $x = x'$. It follows that $w = w'$. □

*End of proof of Proposition 6.1.* It follows from Proposition 5.1 that $S_N$ bijectively corresponds to a basis of the degree $N$ part of $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n)$; on the other hand, $\Sigma_N$ bijectively corresponds to a basis of the degree $N$ part of $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$; $\alpha_N$ corresponds to the degree $N$ part of the linear map $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n) \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$; since $\alpha_N$ is injective, so is this degree $N$ part. It follows that $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n) \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is injective. □

## 7. The isomorphism $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n)$ and proof of Proposition 4.8

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.3.** As the Lie algebra morphism $\phi_{\mathfrak{tr}_n} : \mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n)$ induced by $r_{ij} \mapsto$ (class of $R_{ij}$) is clearly surjective, we will show:

**Proposition 7.1.** *$\phi_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}$ is injective.*

*Proof.* Let us denote by $\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ the degree completion of $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ (the degree is given by $N$). According to [EK1, EK2, En2], there exist elements $R_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}} \in (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$, such[7] that $R_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}} = 1 + r_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}} +$ terms of degree $\geq 2$, and $R_{ij} \mapsto R_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ gives rise to a morphism $\rho : \mathbf{Tr}_n \mapsto (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$.

Now $(\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-prounipotent Lie group, and its Lie algebra is $\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$. the morphism $\rho$ therefore gives rise to a morphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-prounipotent groups $\mathrm{Lie}(\rho) : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n) \to (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$, whose associated graded is $\mathrm{grLie}(\rho) : \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n) \to$

[7] If $A$ is an algebra equipped with a character $\chi : A \to \mathbb{C}$, then $A_1^\times$ is the group of invertible elements $a \in A$, such that $\chi(a) = 1$; here the character of $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the projection on the degree 0 component.

$U_n^{\mathrm{univ},>0}$ (here $U_n^{\mathrm{univ},>0}$ is the positive degree part of $U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$; it is equipped with the Lie structure associated to its algebra structure).

Recall that we have a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n)$. The composed morphism $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n) \xrightarrow{\rho} U_n^{\mathrm{univ},>0}$ takes $r_{ij}$ to the class of $\log(R_{ij})$, and then to $r_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}}$. We have shown that the algebra morphism $U(\mathfrak{tr}_n) \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ given by $r_{ij} \mapsto r_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is injective. It follows that the induced Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ},>0}$ is injective.

This implies that $\mathfrak{tr}_n \to \mathrm{grLie}(\mathbf{Tr}_n)$ is injective, as claimed. □

7.2. **Proof of Proposition 4.8.** Recall the homomorphism $\zeta_n : \mathfrak{qtr}_n \to U_n^{\mathrm{univ}}$ introduced in Section 6. By [En2], Section 1.13, the composition $\zeta_n \circ \psi_n$ is injective. Hence $\psi_n$ is injective.

Let $Z_n : \mathbf{QTr}_n \to (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$ be the homomorphism sending $R_{ij}$ to $R_{ij}^{\mathrm{univ}}$. We denote by $G(\mathbb{Q}) = \exp(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ the Malcev $\mathbb{Q}$-completion of a group $G$. As $(\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$ is a prounipotent $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie group, $Z_n$ factors through $Z_n(\mathbb{Q}) : \mathbf{QTr}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \to (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$. Also, let $\Psi_n(\mathbb{Q}) : \mathbf{PB}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbf{QTr}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be the extension of $\Psi_n$ to Malcev $\mathbb{Q}$-completions. It is easy to see that the associated graded map of $Z_n(\mathbb{Q}) \circ \Psi_n(\mathbb{Q}) : \mathbf{PB}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \to (\widehat{U}_n^{\mathrm{univ}})_1^\times$ is $\zeta_n \circ \psi_n$. Hence $Z_n(\mathbb{Q}) \circ \Psi_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is injective, and therefore $\Psi_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is injective. But the group $\mathbf{PB}_n$ is an iterated cross product of free groups, which implies that the natural map $\mathbf{PB}_n \to \mathbf{PB}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is injective. Thus $\Psi_n$ is injective, as desired.

## 8. Classifying spaces for the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$.

8.1. **The Permutohedron.** Let $P_n$ be the convex hull of $\mathfrak{S}_n \cdot (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$ in the affine hyperplane $\mathbb{A}_n$ defined by the equation

$$\sum X_i = 1 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This is a polyhedron, containing the points $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that, for every $S \subset [n]$, we have $\sum_{s\in S} x_s \in [1 + \cdots + |S|, (n - |S| + 1) + \cdots + n]$.

For $S$ a finite set, we write $P_S$ for the permutohedron $P_{|S|}$ constructed in $\mathbb{R}^S$.

The faces of $P_n$ can be determined as follows. The $(n-r)$-dimensional faces are in bijection with the ordered partitions $[n] = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$; the face corresponding to such a choice is the set of the points $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ satisfying

$$\text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, r\} : \quad \sum_{s\in S_i} x_s = (|S_1| + \cdots + |S_{i-1}| + 1) + \cdots + (|S_1| + \cdots + |S_i|).$$

This face is therefore the Cartesian product $P_{S_1} \times \cdots \times P_{S_r}$, with the coordinates of $P_{S_i}$ shifted $|S_1| + \cdots + |S_{i-1}|$ away from the origin. For example, the vertex with coordinates $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ corresponds to the partition $[n] = \{\pi^{-1}(1)\} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \{\pi^{-1}(n)\}$. Geometric inclusion of faces corresponds combinatorially to ordered refinement of partitions; namely, $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$ is a face of $T_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T_s$ if there is an order-preserving surjection $f : [r] \to [s]$ with $T_i = \bigcup_{j\in f^{-1}(i)} S_j$. The set of faces is partially ordered by this relation;

partitions into singletons are atoms, and the one-part partition $[n]$ is the maximal element.

8.2. **The classifying space $C_n$.** For every $r$ there is a natural action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ on the disjoint union of the $(n-r)$-dimensional faces of $P_n$. In the combinatorial model, it is the natural permutation action

$$\pi(S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r) = S_{\pi^{-1}(1)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_{\pi^{-1}(r)},$$

which moves $S_i$ from position $i$ to position $\pi(i)$. In the geometric model, the action is given by piecewise translations: in the face associated with $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$,

$$\pi(x_s) = x_s - (|S_1| + \cdots + |S_{i-1}|) + \left(|S_{\pi^{-1}(1)}| + \cdots + |S_{\pi^{-1}(\pi(i)-1)}|\right).$$

These actions fit together, in the sense that if $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$ is a face of $T_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup T_s$ via the surjection $f$ as above, then $f$ interlaces the $\mathfrak{S}_r$- and $\mathfrak{S}_s$-actions. We let $C_n$ be the quotient of $P_n$ by these actions.

**Theorem 8.1.** *$C_n$ is a classifying space for the group $\mathbf{Tr}_n$.*

*Proof.* This amounts to showing that $C_n$ has a contractible universal cover, and has fundamental group $\mathbf{Tr}_n$.

For the first assertion, we show that $C_n$ is locally a non-positively curved space, whence [BH, Corollary II.1.5] yields that the universal cover of $C_n$ is contractible. Since $C_n$ is a quotient of Euclidean space, it suffices to show by [BH, Theorem II.5.2] that the link $Lk(*, C_n)$ of the vertex $* \in C_n$ has curvature $\leq 1$; applying iteratively [BH, Theorem 5.4], this amounts to showing that for every face $F$ of $C_n$ the link $Lk(F, C_n)$ contains no isometrically embedded circles of length $< 2\pi$.

Recall that the faces of $P_n$ are indexed by ordered set-partitions of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and that faces of $C_n$ are indexed by unordered set-partitions of $[n]$.

Let therefore $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r\}$ be an unordered set partition, with $S_i \subset [n]$, and let $F$ denote the corresponding face of $C_n$. Note that $F$ is of dimension $n - r$. The link $L = Lk(F_S, C_n)$ is a spherical simplicial complex of dimension $r - 1$, combinatorially isomorphic to $Lk(*, C_r)$, which can be described as follows:

The vertex set $V$ of $L$ consists of ordered pairs of distinct elements of $S$; the pair $(S_i, S_j)$ represents the partition $\{S_1, \ldots, S_i \cup S_j, \ldots, S_r\}$. A subset $\Theta$ of $V$ spans a simplex if and only if there exists a permutation $(T_1, \ldots, T_r)$ of $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\Theta \subset \{(t_1, t_2), (t_2, t_3), \ldots, (t_{r-1}, t_r)\}$.

An isometrically embedded circle in $L$ is necessarily a subset of the 1-skeleton of $L$, so it is important to compute the lengths of the edges of $L$. These lengths can be described geometrically as dihedral angles between certain $(n - r + 1)$-faces incident to $F$. By considering normal vectors to these $(n - r + 1)$-faces inside the $(n - r + 2)$-face in $\mathbb{A}_n$ containing them, these angles can be computed explicitly.

Let $(S_i, S_j)$ and $(S_k, S_l)$ be two vertices of $L$. For $S \subset [n]$, let $e_S$ denote the vector having 1's in coördinates $\in S$ and 0 elsewhere. Two cases can occur:

- $\boldsymbol{|\{i,j,k,l\}| = 4}$: normal vectors to $(S_i, S_j)$ and $(S_k, S_l)$ may be chosen respectively as $|S_j|e_{S_i} - |S_i|e_{S_j}$ and $|S_k|e_{S_l} - |S_l|e_{S_k}$, so that the arclength between these two vertices is $\pi/2$.
- $\boldsymbol{j = k, |\{i,j,k\}| = 3}$: normal vectors may be chosen respectively as $v_{ij} = (|S_k| + |S_l|)e_{S_i} - |S_i|e_{S_k} - |S_i|e_{S_l}$ and $v_{kl} = (|S_i| + |S_j|)e_{S_l} - |S_l|e_{S_i} - |S_l|e_{S_j}$, and the arclength between these vertices is the angle between these vectors. The exact value will not be needed, but since $\langle v_{ij}, v_{kl}\rangle < 0$ the angle is strictly $> \pi/2$.

Consider now an isometrically embedded circle in $L$; we wish to show that its length it at least $2\pi$. Since all edge lengths in the 1-skeleton of $L$ have length at least $\pi/2$, it suffices to consider triangles in $L$. The only geodesic triangles are those which do not bound a 2-face. There is one such triangle for every cyclically ordered triple of elements of $S$; the vertices of this triangle are of the form $(S_i, S_j)$, $(S_j, S_k)$ and $(S_k, S_i)$. Now the arclength between these vertices add up to $2\pi$, because their respective vectors $v_{ij}$, $v_{jk}$ and $v_{ki}$ are coplanar: $v_{ij} + v_{jk} + v_{ki} = 0$.

We next compute the fundamental group of $C_n$. It is generated by simple loops in the 1-skeleton of $C_n$, and has relations given by the 2-cells. A simple loop in the 1-skeleton is of the form $\{a, b\}$, neglecting singletons. We identify it with the generator $R_{ab}$, with the ordering $a < b$. The other generators $R_{ba}$ are redundant, because of the relation $R_{ab}R_{ba} = 1$.

A typical 2-cell is either of the form $\{a, b\} \sqcup \{c, d\}$, in which case it gives the relation $R_{ab}R_{cd} = R_{cd}R_{ab}$, or of the form $\{a, b, c\}$, in which case it gives the relation $R_{ab}R_{ac}R_{bc} = R_{bc}R_{ac}R_{ab}$, again assuming the ordering $a < b < c$.

The other relations of $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, namely those of the form $R_{ab}R_{ac}R_{bc} = R_{bc}R_{ac}R_{ab}$ with $a, b, c$ not in the order $a < b < c$, are cyclic permutations of the one in the standard ordering. □

*Remark.* The quotient $C_n$ of $P_n$ may be constructed in two steps. First, let $T_n$ be the space obtained from $P_n$ by identify opposing faces $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ and $S_2 \sqcup S_1$. In terms of partitions, this corresponds to identifying an ordered partition with all of its cyclic permutations. There is a lattice of translations of $\mathbb{A}_n$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, and spanned by all vectors $(-1, \ldots, -1, n - 1, -1, \ldots, -1)$. It is easy to see that $P_n$ is a fundamental domain for this lattice. Indeed two translates with non-trivial intersection are of the form $P_n + v$ and $P_n + v + |S|\sum_{s\notin S} x_s - (n - |S|)\sum_{s\in S} x_s$, for some $S \subset [n]$, and these two translates intersect in the $(n-2)$-dimensional face $S \sqcup ([n] \setminus S)$.

There are natural copies of $P_{i_1} \times \cdots \times P_{i_r}$ with $i_1 + \cdots + i_r = n$ in $T_n$, for example a copy of $P_{n-1} \times P_1 \cong P_{n-1}$ spanned by all $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r \sqcup \{n\}$ for any face $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$ of $P_{n-1}$. One may construct $C_n$ by quotienting in $T_n$ each of these $P_{i_1} \times \cdots \times P_{i_r}$ into $C_{i_1} \times \cdots \times C_{i_r}$.

These considerations are sufficient to describe the spaces $C_n$ for small $n$: $C_1$ is a point; $C_2$ is a circle, the quotient of the line segment $[(1,2),(2,1)]$ by identification of its endpoints; and $C_3$ is the quotient of a 2-torus obtained by gluing two distinct points together. It is homotopic to the connected sum of a 2-torus and a circle.

8.3. **The classifying space $QC_n$.** Consider now the space $QC_n$ constructed as follows. On the disjoint union $C_n \times \mathfrak{S}_n$, identify all faces $(S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r, \sigma)$ and $(S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r, \tau)$ precisely when

$$\text{for all } i \in \{1,\dots,r\}: \quad \text{for all } x,y \in S_r : \sigma(x) < \sigma(y) \Leftrightarrow \tau(x) < \tau(y).$$

**Theorem 8.2.** *$QC_n$ is a classifying space for the group $\mathbf{QTr}_n$.*

*Proof.* The proof that $QC_n$ is locally a non-positively curved space is similar to the proof for $C_n$: the links in $QC_n$ are covers of links in $C_n$. We omit the details.

A simple loop in the 1-skeleton of $QC_n$ is of the form $(\{a,b\},\sigma)$, neglecting singletons, where $\sigma$ specifies an orientation of $\{a,b\}$. We identify it with the generator $R_{ab}$, with the ordering specified by $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$.

A typical 2-cell is either of the form $(\{a,b\} \sqcup \{c,d\},\sigma)$, in which case it gives the relation $R_{ab}R_{cd} = R_{cd}R_{ab}$, in the order specified by $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ and $\sigma(c) < \sigma(d)$, or is of the form $(\{a,b,c\},\sigma)$, in which case it gives the relation $R_{ab}R_{ac}R_{bc} = R_{bc}R_{ac}R_{ab}$, again in the order $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b) < \sigma(c)$. $\square$

Now the fact that $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ is a split quotient of $\mathbf{QTr}_n$ acquires a geometric interpretation. Namely, $C_n$ is both a quotient of $QC_n$, by projecting on the first coordinate, and a subspace of $QC_n$, embedded as $C_n \times \{1\}$.

8.4. **Homology of $C_n$ and $QC_n$.** The permutohedron $P_n$ is homeomorphic to a ball, and therefore has non-trivial homology only in dimension 0. Consider the chain complex spanned by all faces of the polyhedron. In the combinatorial model, the boundary operator is given by

$$\partial(S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-)^i S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \partial(S_i) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r, \tag{8.1}$$

$$\partial(S) = \sum_{S = S' \sqcup S''} (-)^? S' \sqcup S'',$$

for appropriate signs in $\partial(S)$. We fix an orientation for the top-dimensional face in $P_n$. Each face $S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_r$ of $P_n$ is then a Cartesian product of translates of $P_{S_i}$, and we give that face the product orientation. The exact signs in $\partial(S)$ are not important, but we note that $S' \sqcup S''$ and $S'' \sqcup S'$ are translates of each other, and have opposite signs in $\partial(S)$.

Consider now the quotient $C_n$. It admits a natural chain complex, where the $(n-r)$-dimensional complex is spanned by $\mathfrak{S}_r$-orbits of $(n-r)$-dimensional faces, i.e. by unordered partitions of $[n]$ in $r$ parts. The boundary operator on that complex is induced from the boundary on $P_n$, since by the choice above all faces in the same $\mathfrak{S}_r$-orbit have the same orientation.

**Proposition 8.3.** *(i) The boundary map on $P_n$ induces the zero map on the complex of $C_n$; in other words, the complex for $C_n$ is minimal.*

*(ii) The homology group $H_r(\mathbf{Tr}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is free of rank the number $\{ {}^{n}_{n-r} \}$ of unordered partitions of $n$ in $n-r$ parts*[8]*. The Hilbert-Poincaré series of $H_*(\mathbf{Tr}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is the polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{tr}_n}(t)$ of Theorem 3.1.*

*Proof.* The boundary map on any face of $C_n$ is calculated from the boundary on top-dimensional faces, by formula (8.1). Now the top-dimensional face of $P_n$ is mapped bijectively to $C_n$, while the faces of dimension one less are identified by pairs in $C_n$. Furthermore, the boundary operator assigns sign $+1$ to one and sign $-1$ to the other. Therefore all boundary operators are trivial on top-dimensional faces, and by extension on all faces.

The consequence for homology of $\mathbf{Tr}_n$ follows immediately, since it is by definition the homology of a classifying space. □

The same arguments hold for $QC_n$, namely:

**Proposition 8.4.** *(i) The boundary map on $P_n$ induces a trivial map on the complex of $QC_n$; in other words, the complex for $QC_n$ is minimal.*

*(ii) The homology group $H_r(\mathbf{QTr}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is free of rank the number of unordered partitions of $n$ in $r$ ordered parts. The Hilbert-Poincaré series of $H_*(\mathbf{QTr}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is the polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{qtr}_n}(t)$ of Theorem 3.1.*

*Proof.* Since $QC_n$ is a quotient of a disjoint union of copies of $C_n$, the induced boundary map is *a fortiori* trivial.

The $r$-faces of $QC_n$ are given by the numbers $A_{n,n-r}$ of partitions of $[n]$ in $n-r$ parts, with an ordering on each of the parts. These numbers obviously satisfy the recursion

$$A_{n,p} = A_{n-1,p-1} + (n+p-1)A_{n-1,p},$$

since given a partition of $[n]$ in $p$ parts one may remove $n$ from the partition and obtain either a partition of $[n-1]$ in $p-1$ parts, or a partition of $[n-1]$ in $p$ parts, where the number $n$ appeared in any of $n+p-1$ positions. This recursion is also satisfied by the coefficients $\binom{n-1}{p}\frac{n!}{(n-p)!}$ appearing in Theorem 3.1, finishing the proof. □

8.5. **The cohomology rings of the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_n$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n$.** We now relate the cohomology of the Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{q})\mathfrak{tr}_n$ and the groups $(\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Tr}_n$.

We have natural homomorphisms $\xi_n : A_n \to H^*(\mathbf{Tr}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\eta_n : QA_n \to H^*(\mathbf{QTr}_n, \mathbb{Q})$, defined as follows. The generators $a_{ij}$ of $H^*(\mathbf{Tr}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ and $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$ of $H^*(\mathbf{QTr}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ are obtained by pulling back standard generators via the projections $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{Tr}_2$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n \to \mathbf{QTr}_2$ (note that the groups $\mathbf{Tr}_2$, $\mathbf{QTr}_2$ are free in one, respectively two generators). The fact that these generators satisfy the relations of $A_n, QA_n$ respectively follows from consideration of the projections $\mathbf{Tr}_n \to \mathbf{Tr}_3$, $\mathbf{QTr}_n \to \mathbf{QTr}_3$, and the structure of the cohomology rings of $\mathbf{Tr}_3$, $\mathbf{QTr}_3$, which is easy to determine by looking

[8] a.k.a. the "Stirling numbers of the second kind"

at the 2-dimensional complexes $C_3, QC_3$. This defines the homomorphisms $\xi_n, \eta_n$.

**Theorem 8.5.** *$\xi_n$ is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By the above results, $\xi_n$ is a map between spaces of the same dimension. Thus it suffices to show that $\xi_n$ is injective.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_n = \operatorname{Lie} \mathbf{Tr}_n$. We have a natural map $\theta_n : H^*(\mathbf{Tr}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*_{cts}(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ (the subscript cts means continuous cohomology). By Theorem 2.3, we have $\mathrm{gr}\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}_n^0 = \mathfrak{tr}_n$. Thus, since the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_n^0)$ is Koszul, all the differentials of the spectral sequence computing the cohomology of $H^*_{cts}(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ starting from $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_n^0, \mathbb{Q})$ are zero. Thus the injectivity of $\xi_n$ will follow from the injectivity of the natural map $\xi_n^0 : A_n \to H^*(\mathfrak{g}_n^0, \mathbb{Q})$ (as $\theta_n \circ \xi_n$ is a deformation of $\xi_n^0$). But we know (by the results of Sections 3,4) that $\xi_n^0$ is an isomorphism. □

**Remark.** The same argument shows that Conjecture 2.4 implies the following

**Conjecture 8.6.** *$\eta_n$ is an isomorphism.*

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are very grateful to: Richard Stanley for help with the statement and proof of Proposition 5.1 — this allowed us to significantly strengthen the main results of the paper; André Henriques for contributing a proof of Theorem 8.1; and Leonid Bokut for pointing out an error in the previous version and for giving useful references. P.E. and B.E. thank the mathematics department of ETH (Zürich) for hospitality. The work of P.E. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0504847 and the CRDF grant RM1-2545-MO-03. E.R. was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-0401387. Throughout the work, we used the "Magma" program for algebraic computations, [Ma].

## References

[B] B. Buchberger, An Algorithm for Finding the Basis Elements in the Residue Class Ring Modulo a Zero Dimensional Polynomial Ideal, PhD Thesis, Mathematical Institute, University of Innsbruck, Austria, 1965.

[BG] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory, *Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for quadratic algebras of Koszul type,* J. Algebra **181** (1996), 315–328.

[BH] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[Be] E.T. Bell, *Exponential Polynomials,* Ann. Math. **35** (1934), 258–277.

[En1] B. Enriquez, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras and shuffle algebras of Lie algebras,* Selecta Math. (N.S.) **7** (2001), no. 3, 321–407.

[En2] B. Enriquez, *A cohomological construction of quantization functors of Lie bialgebras,* math.QA/0212325, to appear in Adv. Math.

[EHKR] P. Etingof, A. Henriques, J. Kamnitzer, E. Rains, *The cohomology ring of the real locus of the moduli space of stable curves of genus 0 with marked points,* math.AT/0507514.

[EK1] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, I, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 2 (1996), 1-41.

[EK2] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, II, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 4 (1998), 213-231.

[GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4*; 2005, (`http://www.gap-system.org`).

[Ko] T. Kohno, *Série de Poincaré-Koszul associée aux groupes de tresses pures,* Invent. Math. **82** (1985), no. 1, 57–75.

[Ma] The Magma website, http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au

[MV] Mikhalev A.A. and Vasilieva E.A., Standard bases of ideals of free supercommutative polynomial algebras. In: Lie Algebras, Rings and Related Topics. Hong Kong, Springer-Verlag, 2000, p.108-125.

[Mor] T. Mora, An introduction to commutative and noncommutative Gröbner bases. Second International Colloquium on Words, Languages and Combinatorics (Kyoto, 1992). Theoret. Comput. Sci. 134 (1994), no. 1, 131–173.

[PS] S. Papadima, A. Suciu, Chen Lie algebras. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 21, 1057–1086.

[Sh] A.I. Shirshov, Certain algorithmic problems for Lie algebras (Russian), Sib. Mat. Z., 3 (1962), 292-296 (English translation: SIGSAM Bull. 33 (1999), v.2, p. 3-6).

[Su] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology,* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **47** (1977), 269–331.

[St] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics,* Vol. 1. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota. Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Wi] H.S. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[Yu] S. Yuzvinsky, *Orlik-Solomon algebras in algebra and topology,* (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56** (2001), no. 2, 87–166; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **56** (2001), no. 2, 293–364.

Institut de Mathématiques B (IMB), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

*E-mail address*, L.B.: `laurent.bartholdi@epfl.ch`

(B.E.) IRMA (CNRS), rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg, France

*E-mail address*, B.E.: `enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr`

(P.E.) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics 2-176, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

*E-mail address*, P.E.: `etingof@math.mit.edu`

(E.R.) Department of Mathematics, One Shields Ave., University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

*E-mail address*, E.R.: `rains@math.ucdavis.edu`